

## An Extension of Jensen's Inequality on Time Scales

**Fu-Hsiang Wong**

*Department of Mathematics, National Taipei Education University,  
134, Ho-Ping E. Rd, Sec2, Taipei 10659,  
Taiwan, Republic of China  
E-mail: wong@tea.ntue.edu.tw*

**Cheh-Chih Yeh**

*Department of Information Management,  
Lunghwa University of Science and Technology,  
Kueishan Taoyuan, 33306 Taiwan, Republic of China  
E-mail: ccyeh@mail.lhu.edu.tw or chehchihyeh@yahoo.com.tw*

**Wei-Cheng Lian**

*Department of Information Management,  
National Kaohsiung Marine University,  
No.142, Hai Chuan Road, Nan-Tzu Dist, Kaohsiung,  
Taiwan, Republic of China  
E-mail: wclian@mail.nkimt.edu.tw*

### Abstract

The renowned Jensen inequality is established on time scales as follows:

$$f \left( \frac{\int_a^b |h(s)|g(s)\Delta s}{\int_a^b |h(s)|\Delta s} \right) \leq \frac{\int_a^b |h(s)|f(g(s))\Delta s}{\int_a^b |h(s)|\Delta s},$$

if  $f$ ,  $g$  and  $h$  satisfy some suitable conditions.

**AMS subject classification:** 26B25, 26D15.

**Keywords:** Time scales, Jensen's inequality, convex, delta derivative.

## 1. Introduction

The Jensen inequality [9] is of great interest in differential and difference equations, and other areas of mathematics. The original Jensen inequality is as follows:

If  $g \in C([a, b], (c, d))$  and  $f \in C((c, d), \mathbb{R})$  are convex, then

$$f\left(\frac{\int_a^b g(s)ds}{b-a}\right) \leq \frac{\int_a^b f(g(s))ds}{b-a}.$$

Many authors have dealt with this renowned inequality, see, for example, Agarwal et al. [1] and the references therein. The Jensen inequality has been extended to time scales by Agarwal, Bohner, and Peterson as follows (see [1, 3]):

**Theorem 1.1.** If  $g \in C_{rd}([a, b], (c, d))$  and  $f \in C((c, d), \mathbb{R})$  are convex, then

$$f\left(\frac{\int_a^b g(s)\Delta s}{b-a}\right) \leq \frac{\int_a^b f(g(s))\Delta s}{b-a}.$$

The purpose of this paper is to generalize Theorem 1.1 to a more general case. For related results, we refer to [4, 8, 9].

Now, we briefly introduce the time scales calculus and refer to Aulbach and Hilger [2] and Hilger [6] and the books [3, 7] for further details.

By a time scale  $\mathbb{T}$  we mean any closed subset of  $\mathbb{R}$  with order and topological structure present in a canonical way. Since a time scale  $\mathbb{T}$  may or may not be connected, we need the concept of jump operators.

**Definition 1.2.** Let  $t \in \mathbb{T}$ , where  $\mathbb{T}$  is a time scale. The two mappings

$$\sigma, \rho : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

satisfying

$$\sigma(t) = \inf\{s \in \mathbb{T} | s > t\}, \quad \rho(t) = \sup\{s \in \mathbb{T} | s < t\}$$

are called the jump operators. If  $\sigma(t) > t$ ,  $t$  is right-scattered. If  $\rho(t) < t$ ,  $t$  is left-scattered. If  $\sigma(t) = t$ ,  $t$  is right-dense. If  $\rho(t) = t$ ,  $t$  is left-dense.

**Definition 1.3.** A mapping  $f : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is said to be rd-continuous if it satisfies the following two conditions:

- (A)  $f$  is continuous at each right-dense point or maximal element of  $\mathbb{T}$ ,
- (B) the left-sided limit  $\lim_{s \rightarrow t^-} f(s) = f(t^-)$  exists at each left-dense point  $t$  of  $\mathbb{T}$ .

Throughout this paper, we suppose that

- (a)  $\mathbb{R} = (-\infty, +\infty)$ ;

- (b)  $\mathbb{T}$  is a time scale;
- (c) an interval means the intersection of a real interval with the given time scale;
- (d)

$$C_{rd}(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R}) := \{f \mid f : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ is an rd-continuous function}\};$$

- (e)

$$\mathbb{T}^\kappa := \begin{cases} \mathbb{T} - \{m\}, & \text{if } \mathbb{T} \text{ has a left-scattered maximal point } m, \\ \mathbb{T}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

**Definition 1.4.** If  $f : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , then  $f^\sigma : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is defined by

$$f^\sigma(t) = f(\sigma(t))$$

for all  $t \in \mathbb{T}$ .

**Definition 1.5.** Assume  $x : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and fix  $t \in \mathbb{T}^\kappa$ . We define  $x^\Delta(t)$  as the number (provided it exists) with the property that given any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there is a neighborhood  $U$  of  $t$  such that

$$|[x(\sigma(t)) - x(s)] - x^\Delta(t)[\sigma(t) - s]| < \epsilon|\sigma(t) - s|,$$

for all  $s \in U$ . Here  $x^\Delta(t)$  is said to be the **delta derivative** of  $x$  at  $t$ .

It can be shown that if  $x : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous at  $t \in \mathbb{T}$  and  $t$  is right-scattered, then

$$x^\Delta(t) = \frac{x(\sigma(t)) - x(t)}{\sigma(t) - t}.$$

**Definition 1.6.** A function  $F : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is an antiderivative of  $f : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  if  $F^\Delta(t) = f(t)$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{T}^\kappa$ . In this case, we define the integral of  $f$  by

$$\int_s^t f(\tau) \Delta\tau = F(t) - F(s)$$

for  $s, t \in \mathbb{T}$ .

## 2. Main Result

To establish our main result, we need the following lemma which is [5, Exercise 3.42C].

**Lemma 2.1.** Let  $f \in C((c, d), \mathbb{R})$  be convex. Then, for each  $t \in (c, d)$ , there exists  $a_t \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$f(x) - f(t) \geq a_t(x - t) \quad \text{for all } x \in (c, d).$$

If  $f$  is strictly convex, then the inequality sign “ $\geq$ ” in the above inequality should be replaced by “ $>$ ”.

We are in a position to state and prove our main result.

**Theorem 2.2. (Jensen's inequality on time scales)** Let  $g \in C_{rd}([a, b], (c, d))$  and  $h \in C_{rd}([a, b], \mathbb{R})$  with

$$\int_a^b |h(s)| \Delta s > 0,$$

where  $a, b \in \mathbb{T}$  and  $c, d \in \mathbb{R}$ . If  $f \in C((c, d), \mathbb{R})$  is convex, then

$$f \left( \frac{\int_a^b |h(s)| g(s) \Delta s}{\int_a^b |h(s)| \Delta s} \right) \leq \frac{\int_a^b |h(s)| f(g(s)) \Delta s}{\int_a^b |h(s)| \Delta s}.$$

If  $f$  is strictly convex, then the inequality sign " $\leq$ " in the above inequality should be replaced by " $<$ ".

*Proof.* Since  $f$  is convex, it follows from Lemma 2.1 that for each  $t \in (c, d)$ , there exists  $a_t \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$f(x) - f(t) \geq a_t(x - t)$$

for all  $x \in (c, d)$ . Let

$$t = \frac{\int_a^b |h(s)| g(s) \Delta s}{\int_a^b |h(s)| \Delta s}.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_a^b |h(s)| f(g(s)) \Delta s - \left( \int_a^b |h(s)| \Delta s \right) f \left( \frac{\int_a^b |h(s)| g(s) \Delta s}{\int_a^b |h(s)| \Delta s} \right) \\ &= \int_a^b |h(s)| f(g(s)) \Delta s - \left( \int_a^b |h(s)| \Delta s \right) f(t) \\ &= \int_a^b |h(s)| \{f(g(s)) - f(t)\} \Delta s \\ &\geq a_t \int_a^b |h(s)| \{g(s) - t\} \Delta s \\ &= a_t \left\{ \int_a^b |h(s)| g(s) \Delta s - t \int_a^b |h(s)| \Delta s \right\} \\ &= a_t \left\{ \int_a^b |h(s)| g(s) \Delta s - \int_a^b |h(s)| g(s) \Delta s \right\} \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

which completes our proof. ■

Letting  $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{Z}$  in Theorem 2.2, we have the following two corollaries which improve [8, Theorems 2 and 3 on p. 109], respectively.

**Corollary 2.3.** ( $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}$ ) Let  $g, h : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be integrable with  $\int_a^b |h(x)|dx > 0$ . If  $f \in C((c, d), \mathbb{R})$  is convex, then

$$f\left(\frac{\int_a^b |h(x)|g(x)dx}{\int_a^b |h(x)|dx}\right) \leq \frac{\int_a^b |h(x)|f(g(x))dx}{\int_a^b |h(x)|dx},$$

where  $g([a, b]) \subseteq (c, d)$ .

**Corollary 2.4.** ( $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{Z}$ ) Let  $f$  be a convex function. Then for any  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  and  $c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $\sum_{k=1}^n c_k > 0$ ,

$$f\left(\frac{\sum_{k=1}^n c_k x_k}{\sum_{k=1}^n c_k}\right) \leq \frac{\sum_{k=1}^n c_k f(x_k)}{\sum_{k=1}^n c_k}.$$

**Remark 2.5.** If the condition “ $f$  is convex” is changed into “ $f$  is concave”, then the inequality signs of the conclusions in the above theorems and corollaries should be replaced by “ $\geq$ ”.

**Remark 2.6.** Let  $g(t) \geq 0$  on  $[a, b]$  and  $f(t) = t^\alpha$  on  $[0, +\infty)$  in Theorem 2.2. It is clear that  $f$  is convex on  $[0, +\infty)$  for  $\alpha < 0$  or  $\alpha > 1$ , and  $f$  is concave on  $[0, +\infty)$  for  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ . Therefore,

$$\left(\frac{\int_a^b |h(s)|g(s)\Delta s}{\int_a^b |h(s)|\Delta s}\right)^\alpha \leq \frac{\int_a^b |h(s)|g^\alpha(s)\Delta s}{\int_a^b |h(s)|\Delta s}, \quad \text{if } \alpha < 0 \text{ or } \alpha > 1;$$

$$\left(\frac{\int_a^b |h(s)|g(s)\Delta s}{\int_a^b |h(s)|\Delta s}\right)^\alpha \geq \frac{\int_a^b |h(s)|g^\alpha(s)\Delta s}{\int_a^b |h(s)|\Delta s}, \quad \text{if } \alpha \in (0, 1).$$

**Remark 2.7.** Let  $g(t) > 0$  on  $[a, b]$  and  $f(t) = \ln(t)$  on  $(0, +\infty)$  in Theorem 2.2. It is clear that  $f$  is concave on  $(0, +\infty)$ . Therefore,

$$\ln\left(\frac{\int_a^b |h(s)|g(s)\Delta s}{\int_a^b |h(s)|\Delta s}\right) \geq \frac{\int_a^b |h(s)|\ln(g(s))\Delta s}{\int_a^b |h(s)|\Delta s}.$$

### 3. Applications

Applying Jensen's inequality (Theorem 2.2), we have the following three theorems.

**Theorem 3.1.** Let  $p, h \in C_{rd}([a, b], [0, \infty))$  with  $\int_a^b p(s)h(s)\Delta s > 0$  and  $\int_a^b \frac{p(s)}{h(s)}\Delta s > 0$ . Then

$$\frac{\int_a^b \frac{p(s)}{h(s)} \ln(h(s))\Delta s}{\int_a^b \frac{p(s)}{h(s)}\Delta s} < \frac{\int_a^b p(s)h(s) \ln(h(s))\Delta s}{\int_a^b p(s)h(s)\Delta s}.$$

*Proof.* Since  $f(x) = -\ln(x)$  is strictly convex, it follows from the Jensen inequality (Theorem 2.2) that

$$f\left(\frac{\int_a^b p(s)\frac{1}{h(s)}\Delta s}{\int_a^b p(s)\Delta s}\right) < \frac{\int_a^b p(s)f\left(\frac{1}{h(s)}\right)\Delta s}{\int_a^b p(s)\Delta s}.$$

That is,

$$-\ln\left(\frac{\int_a^b \frac{p(s)}{h(s)}\Delta s}{\int_a^b p(s)\Delta s}\right) < \frac{-\int_a^b p(s)\ln\left(\frac{1}{h(s)}\right)\Delta s}{\int_a^b p(s)\Delta s},$$

which implies

$$\ln\left(\frac{\int_a^b p(s)\Delta s}{\int_a^b \frac{p(s)}{h(s)}\Delta s}\right) < \frac{\int_a^b p(s)\ln(h(s))\Delta s}{\int_a^b p(s)\Delta s}.$$

Thus,

$$\frac{\int_a^b p(s)\Delta s}{\int_a^b \frac{p(s)}{h(s)}\Delta s} < \exp\left(\frac{\int_a^b p(s)\ln(h(s))\Delta s}{\int_a^b p(s)\Delta s}\right).$$

Similarly,

$$\frac{\int_a^b p(s)h(s)\Delta s}{\int_a^b p(s)\Delta s} = \frac{\int_a^b p(s)h(s)\Delta s}{\int_a^b \frac{p(s)h(s)}{h(s)}\Delta s} < \exp\left(\frac{\int_a^b p(s)h(s)\ln(h(s))\Delta s}{\int_a^b p(s)h(s)\Delta s}\right).$$

It follows from this and Jensen's inequality with respect to the strictly convex function  $\exp$  that

$$\begin{aligned} \exp\left(\frac{\int_a^b \frac{p(s)}{h(s)} \ln(h(s))\Delta s}{\int_a^b \frac{p(s)}{h(s)}\Delta s}\right) &< \frac{\int_a^b \frac{p(s)}{h(s)} \exp(\ln(h(s)))\Delta s}{\int_a^b \frac{p(s)}{h(s)}\Delta s} \\ &= \frac{\int_a^b \frac{p(s)}{h(s)} h(s)\Delta s}{\int_a^b \frac{p(s)}{h(s)}\Delta s} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \frac{\int_a^b p(s)\Delta t}{\int_a^b \frac{p(s)}{h(s)}\Delta s} < \exp\left(\frac{\int_a^b p(s)\ln(h(s))\Delta s}{\int_a^b p(s)\Delta s}\right) < \frac{\int_a^b p(s)\exp(\ln(h(s)))\Delta s}{\int_a^b p(s)\Delta s} \\
 &= \frac{\int_a^b p(s)h(s)\Delta s}{\int_a^b p(s)\Delta s} < \exp\left(\frac{\int_a^b p(s)h(s)\ln(h(s))\Delta s}{\int_a^b p(s)h(s)\Delta s}\right),
 \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof. ■

**Theorem 3.2. (Hölder's inequality)** Let  $h, f, g \in C_{rd}([a, b], [0, \infty))$  with

$$\int_a^b h(x)g^q(x)\Delta x > 0.$$

If  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$  with  $p > 1$ , then

$$\int_a^b h(x)f(x)g(x)\Delta x \leq \left(\int_a^b h(x)f^p(x)\Delta x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_a^b h(x)g^q(x)\Delta x\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

*Proof.* Taking  $f(x) = x^p$  and letting  $g, |h(x)|$  be replaced by  $fg^{-\frac{q}{p}}, hg^q$  in Theorem 2.2, respectively, we obtain

$$\left(\frac{\int_a^b h(x)g^q(x)f(x)g^{-\frac{q}{p}}(x)\Delta x}{\int_a^b h(x)g^q(x)\Delta x}\right)^p \leq \frac{\int_a^b h(x)g^q(x)(f(x)g^{-\frac{q}{p}}(x))^p\Delta x}{\int_a^b h(x)g^q(x)\Delta x}.$$

This and  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$  imply

$$\int_a^b h(x)f(x)g(x)\Delta x \leq \left(\int_a^b h(x)f^p(x)\Delta x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_a^b h(x)g^q(x)\Delta x\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

**Theorem 3.3.** Let  $h, f, g \in C_{rd}([a, b], [0, \infty))$ . Then

(a)

$$\left[\left(\int_a^b hf\Delta x\right)^r + \left(\int_a^b hg\Delta x\right)^r\right]^{\frac{1}{r}} \leq \int_a^b h(f^r + g^r)^{\frac{1}{r}}\Delta x, \text{ if } r > 1;$$

(b)

$$\left[\left(\int_a^b hf\Delta x\right)^r + \left(\int_a^b hg\Delta x\right)^r\right]^{\frac{1}{r}} \geq \int_a^b h(f^r + g^r)^{\frac{1}{r}}\Delta x, \text{ if } 0 < r < 1.$$

*Proof.* (a) Clearly,  $\varphi(x) = (1 + x^r)^{\frac{1}{r}}$  is convex on  $(0, \infty)$ . Hence, by Theorem 2.2,

$$\left[ 1 + \left( \int_a^b h(x)f(x)\Delta x \right)^r \right]^{\frac{1}{r}} \leq \int_a^b h(x)(1 + f^r(x))^{\frac{1}{r}} \Delta x .$$

Letting  $h$  and  $f$  be replaced by  $\frac{hf}{\int_a^b hf \Delta x}$  and  $\frac{g}{f}$  in the above inequality, respectively, we get our desired result.

Similarly, we can prove case (b). ■

## References

- [1] R. Agarwal, M. Bohner, and A. Peterson. Inequalities on time scales: A survey, *Math. Inequal. Appl.*, 7:535–557, 2001.
- [2] B. Aulbach and S. Hilger. Linear dynamic processes with inhomogeneous time scale in “Nonlinear Dynamics and Quantum Dynamical Systems (Gaussig, 1990)”, Volume 59 of *Math. Res.*, pp. 9–20 Akademie-Verlag, Berlin, 1990.
- [3] M. Bohner and A. Peterson. “Dynamic Equations on Time Scales” Birkhäuser, Boston, Basel, Berlin, 2001.
- [4] O. Dunkel. Integral inequalities with applications to the calculus of variations, *Amer. Math.*, Monthly 31:326–337, 1924.
- [5] G. Folland. “Real Analysis: Modern Techniques and Their Applications” John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, second edition, 1999.
- [6] S. Hilger. Analysis on measure chains — A unified approach to continuous and discrete calculus, *Res. Math*, 18:28–56, 1990.
- [7] B. Kaymakçalan, V. Lakshmikantham, and S. Sivasundaram. “Dynamic Systems on Measure Chains”, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1996.
- [8] L. Maligranda. Why Hölder’s inequality should be called Rogers’ inequality, *Math. Inequal. Appl.*, 1:69–83, 1998.
- [9] D.S. Mitrinovic. “Analytic Inequalities”, Springer-Verlag, New York/Heidelberg/Berlin, 1970.