
Successional changes in Shrub community composition in an age series of restored mined sites and natural forest in Uttarakhand, India

Soni Prafulla, Gairola Shikha Uniyal

Forest Ecology and Environment Division, Forest Research Institute, Dehradun
shikhaa.fri@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

The present study was carried out to understand the successional changes, which take place in shrubby vegetation in an age series of 23, 22, 21 and 20 years old mine restored sites at Dehradun district in Uttarakhand, India and an adjoining natural forest was also studied for comparison of composition of shrubs species community in all sites. Phytosociological survey was conducted in all the restored sites and the natural forest for composition of shrubs species community by using quadrat method. The critical examination of the data shows that although some of the planted species like *Agave sisalana*, *Dodonea viscosa* and *Rumex hastatus* are still present but their density has declined considerably through the entire years of successional development. The results reveal that higher successional species had invaded the area and some of the shrub species which were planted in the initial phase of restoration had declined in their density and some species have vanished from the area altogether. The results confirms the fact that as the age of succession increases, the restored area gets occupied by the higher successional species and also an ecologically sound restructured system forms which is an indication of the efficacy of the restoration programme leading to a self sustaining system.

Keywords: Age series, Phytosociological survey, Quadrat method, Restoration, Successional development, Succession, Species

1. Introduction

Opencast mining is practiced for commercial exploitation of minerals. In this process, overburden materials, i.e. the overlying soil layer with existing vegetation are removed and deposited in another fresh area. Thus, the deposition of million tons of overburdens in the forms of rocks, shale, coarse tailing results in barren, biologically inert overburden dumps, called mine spoils. Restoration of these mine spoils is essential for conservation of environment, biodiversity and to make the land productive. The use of native and indigenous plant species have been emphasized in restoration programs with a view to maintain essential processes and life support system, preservation of genetic diversity and to ensure sustainable utilization of species and ecosystem (Banerjee et al. 1996 and Soni et al. 1989). Plant species also emerge naturally on the barren mined land after certain intervals of time from the initiation of dump, but succession of plant species under such situation proceeds at a much slower rate (Bradshaw and Chadwick 1980; and Roberts et al. 1981). Therefore, it is essential to understand the structure and function of an ecosystem with its primary and secondary succession patterns for a successful revegetation programs (Gibson et al. 1985). Present study is an effort to show the succession in shrub species in an age series of restored mine lands.

2. Materials and Method

2.1 Study Area

The present study has been undertaken in restored area of rock phosphate mine at Maldeota in Doon Valley that has an elevation ranging from 650m to about 1050m above mean sea level (MSL). It is situated in the north east of Dehradun at a distance of about 18km on the west bank of perennial river Bandal. The area affected by open cast mining was about 15 hectares till 1982 when ecorestoration was initiated. Ecological restoration of this mine site has been done by using integrated technical and biological measures. (Soni and Vasistha,1985). Present study was done in the year 2005 and 2006 and data was collected during post monsoon seasons during both the years.

2.2 Materials properties

A comparative study of shrubby vegetation has been done between a 23 years old restored site (site1), 22 years old restored site (site 2), 21 years old restored site (site3) and 20 years old restored site (site 4). For comparison an adjoining natural forest (site 5) has also been studied.

For the present investigation, the restored areas of different ages were selected, besides the adjoining natural forest (undisturbed by mining) as control site for comparing the impact of restoration and successional changes in shrubs in all age series of restoration. Five quadrat of 5x5 meter was laid in the selected sites according to quadrat method (Misra, 1968). Importance Value Index (IVI) was calculated separately for each species of the community. . Bhatt (1990) has reported that shrub species *Eriophorum comosum*, *Pennisetum purpureum* and *Saccharum spontaneum* were planted in the initial phase of restoration. Importance Value Index (IVI) was calculated by the summation of relative values of frequency, density and dominance (Curtis and McIntosh, 1950; Curtis and Cottam, 1956; Phillips, 1959).

The formulae used for the various calculations were: -

$$\text{Density} = \frac{\text{Total number of individual of a species}}{\text{Total number of quadrats studied}}$$

$$\text{Frequency\%} = \frac{\text{Number of quadrats of occurrence of a species}}{\text{Total number of quadrats studied}} \times 100$$

$$\text{Abundance} = \frac{\text{Total no. of individuals of a species}}{\text{Number of quadrats of occurrence}}$$

3. Results

A perusal on the data of floristic diversity shows that *Lantana camara* (95.23 and100.13) showed the maximum IVI in site 1 during both the years while lowest IVI was calculated for *Pogestemon benghalense* (7.22) during first year of study and *Celtis australis* (4.76) showed the lowest IVI during the second year of study (Table 1). In site 2, the maximum IVI was recorded for *Lantana camara* with highest IVI values with 67.26 and 115.22 during both the years of study while *Artemisia vulgaris* showed the lowest IVI value (4.67) during the first year of study while lowest IVI value was recorded for *Jasminum officinale* (5.89) during the second year of study (Table 2).

Table 1: Floristic composition of shrubs in 23 years old restored site (site 1)

Shrub species	Frequency		Density ha ⁻¹		Abundance		IVI	
	I st	II nd	I st	II nd	I st	II nd	I st	II nd
<i>Adhatoda zeylanica</i> Nees.	80.00	100.00	1280	640	4.00	1.60	39.48	27.38
<i>Adina cordifolia</i> Hook f.	-	20.00	-	160	-	2.00	-	6.30
<i>Agave sisalana</i> Perrine	40.00	-	480	-	3.00	-	18.91	-
<i>Artemisia vulgaris</i> Linn.	20.00	-	80	-	1.00	-	4.87	-
<i>Desmodium gangeticum</i> Linn.	20.00	-	80	-	1.00	-	6.07	-
<i>Boehmeria platyphylla</i> D. Don	40.00	100.00	160	960	1.00	2.40	12.37	37.88
<i>Casearia tomentosa</i> Roxb.	-	20.00	-	320	-	4.00	-	12.76
<i>Colebrookea oppositifolia</i> Smith	60.00	-	400	-	1.67	-	21.01	-
<i>Ehretia laevis</i> Roxb.	20.00	20.00	240	160	3.00	2.00	20.43	9.75
<i>Eupatorium glandulosum</i> Michx.	40.00	-	160	-	1.00	-	16.54	-
<i>Flacourtia cataphracta</i> Roxb.	-	20.00	-	160	-	2.00	-	8.95
<i>Grewia optiva</i> J. R. Drumm. ex Burret.	20.00	20.00	80	160	1.00	2.00	10.38	11.07
<i>Holarrhena antidysenterica</i> Wall.	-	20.00	-	160	-	2.00	-	8.99
<i>Jasminum officinale</i> Linn.	-	20.00	-	160	-	2.00	-	5.89
<i>Lantana camara</i> L.	100.00	100.00	1440	3680	3.60	9.20	67.26	115.22
<i>Mallotus philippensis</i> (Lam.) Muell.-Arg.	20.00	20.00	160	160	2.00	2.00	9.81	7.28
<i>Millettia auriculata</i> Baker.	-	40.00	-	320	-	2.00	-	16.62
<i>Murraya koenigii</i> Spreng.	100.00	40.00	1040	320	2.60	2.00	52.08	13.62
<i>Nyctanthes arbor-tristis</i> Linn.	-	20.00	-	160	-	2.00	-	10.22
<i>Pogostemon benghalense</i> (Burm.F.) O.Kuntz.	-	20.00	-	320	-	4.00	-	8.08
<i>Syzygium cumini</i> (L.) Skeels	20.00	-	160	-	2.00	-	6.30	-
<i>Terminalia tomentosa</i> W. & A.	20.00	-	80	-	1.00	-	6.07	-
<i>Woodfordia fruticosa</i> Kurz.	20.00	-	80	-	1.00	-	8.42	-

Table 2: Floristic composition of shrubs in 22 years old restored site (site 2)

Shrub species	Frequency		Density ha ⁻¹		Abundance		IVI	
	I st	II nd	I st	II nd	I st	II nd	I st	II nd
<i>Adhatoda zeylanica</i> Nees.	-	40.00	-	480	-	3.00	-	14.95
<i>Adina cordifolia</i> Hook f.	-	40.00	-	240	-	1.50	-	10.86
<i>Artemisia vulgaris</i> Linn.	60.00	40.00	80	320	1.00	2.00	24.60	12.10
<i>Bidens pilosa</i> L.	-	60.00	-	1040	-	4.33	-	39.20
<i>Boehmeria platyphylla</i> D.Don	100.00	40.00	80	160	3.00	1.00	87.44	9.66
<i>Carissa opaca</i> Stapf.	-	20.00	-	80	-	1.00	-	4.84
<i>Celtis australis</i> Linn.	-	20.00	-	80	-	1.00	-	4.76
<i>Colebrookea oppositifolia</i> Smith.	40.00	20.00	80	80	1.00	1.00	12.86	5.29
<i>Ficus palmata</i> Forsk.	-	40.00	-	160	-	1.00	-	10.57
<i>Lantana camara</i> L.	100.00	100.00	880	2240	5.20	5.60	95.23	100.13
<i>Leucaena leucocephala</i> (Lam) De Wit	-	40.00	-	240	-	1.50	-	10.73
<i>Mallotus philippensis</i> (Lam.) Muell.-Arg.	20.00	-	160	-	1.00	-	11.42	-
<i>Murraya koenigii</i> Spreng.	60.00	60.00	80	720	2.00	3.00	31.60	37.94
<i>Ricinus communis</i> Linn.	--	40.00	-	240	-	1.50	-	10.72
<i>Pogostemon benghalense</i> (Burm.F.) O.Kuntz.	20.00	-	2400	-	1.00	-	7.22	-
<i>Solanum torvum</i> Swartz.	20.00	-	80	-	1.00	-	11.31	-
<i>Tithonia diversifolia</i> Gray.	40.00	20.00	80	80	1.00	1.00	18.32	5.99
<i>Toona ciliata</i> M.Reem.	-	20.00	-	80	-	1.00	-	7.09
<i>Woodfordia fruticosa</i> Kurz.	-	20.00	-	160	-	2.00	-	15.16

Table 3: Floristic composition of shrubs in 21 years old restored site (site 3)

Shrub species	Frequency		Density ha ⁻¹		Abundance		IVI	
	I st	II nd	I st	II nd	I st	II nd	I st	II nd
<i>Acacia catechu</i> (L.f.) Willd.	-	40.00	-	160	-	1.00	-	21.65
<i>Achyranthes aspera</i> L.	20.00	-	240	-	3.00	-	11.65	-
<i>Adhatoda zeylanica</i> Nees.	20.00	40.00	240	320	3.00	2.00	10.63	12.63
<i>Adina cordifolia</i> Hook f.	-	20.00	-	80	-	1.00	-	10.97
<i>Anthocephalus cadamba</i> (Roxb.)	20.00	-	80	-	1.00	-	8.32	-
<i>Boehmeria platyphylla</i> D.Don	100.00	60.00	1120	800	2.80	3.33	49.49	25.24
<i>Boehmeria rugulosa</i> Wedd.	-	20.00	-	80	-	1.00	-	5.63
<i>Callicarpa macrophylla</i> Vahl.	-	40.00	-	160	-	1.00	-	9.86
<i>Cassia fistula</i> Linn.	20.00	-	80	-	1.00	-	6.31	-
<i>Casearia tomentosa</i> (Roxb.)	-	20.00	-	80	-	1.00	-	4.65
<i>Carissa opaca</i> Stapf.	20.00	20.00	80	160	1.00	2.00	6.25	8.40
<i>Colebrookea oppositifolia</i> Smith	20.00	-	80	-	1.00	-	5.63	-
<i>Flacourtia cataphracta</i> Roxb.	20.00	-	80	-	1.00	-	6.46	-
<i>Lantana camara</i> L.	100.00	100.00	1280	2800	3.20	7.00	61.14	82.76
<i>Mallotus philippensis</i> (Lam.) Muell.-Arg.	40.00	20.00	320	80	2.00	1.00	23.52	5.69
<i>Melia composita</i> Willd. leaf.	20.00	-	80	-	1.00	-	6.38	-
<i>Pinus roxburghii</i> Sargent	80.00	100.00	880	1040	2.75	2.60	60.57	52.21
<i>Toona ciliata</i> M.Reem.	-	20.00	-	80	-	1.00	-	4.95
<i>Tithonia diversifolia</i> Gray.	-	20.00	-	160	-	2.00	-	5.84
<i>Urtica dioica</i> L.	-	40.00	-	240	-	1.50	-	11.46
<i>Vallis solanacea</i> (Roth) Kuntze, Revis.	20.00	40.00	160	160	2.00	1.00	7.51	9.93
<i>Vitex negundo</i> Linn.	-	20.00	-	80	-	1.00	-	4.33
<i>Wendlandia exerta</i> DC.	-	20.00	-	80	-	1.00	-	4.52
<i>Woodfordia fruticosa</i> Kurz.	40.00	60.00	800	240	5.00	1.00	36.13	19.29

Table 4: Floristic composition of shrubs in 20 years old restored site (site 4)

Shrub species	Frequency		Density ha ⁻¹		Abundance		IVI	
	I st	II nd	I st	II nd	I st	II nd	I st	II nd
<i>Adhatoda zeylanica</i> Nees.	20.00	20.00	240	1600	3.00	4.00	11.23	13.11
<i>Agave sisalana</i> Perrine	20.00	-	80	-	1.00	-	6.55	-
<i>Ageratum conyzoides</i> Linn.	20.00	-	80	-	1.00	-	5.23	-
<i>Artemisia vulgaris</i> Linn	20.00	-	80	-	1.00	-	5.50	-
<i>Bidens pilosa</i> L.	20.00	20.00	400	1200	5.00	3.00	11.73	10.79
<i>Boehmeria platyphylla</i> D.Don	40.00	20.00	240	800	1.50	2.00	12.94	10.57
<i>Boehmeria rugulosa</i> Wedd.	20.00	-	240	-	3.00	-	9.61	-
<i>Colebrookea oppositifolia</i> Smith.	20.00	-	80	-	1.00	-	5.94	-
<i>Deutzia staminea</i> R. Br. ex. Wall.	40.00	-	320	-	2.00	-	14.51	-
<i>Eupatorium glandulosum</i> Michx.	20.00	-	160	-	2.00	-	7.44	-
<i>Grewia optiva</i> J. R. Drumm. ex Burret.	20.00	-	80	-	1.00	-	5.97	-
<i>Lantana camara</i> L.	100.00	100.00	1280	2640	3.20	9.80	88.75	156.18
<i>Leucaena leucocephala</i> (Lam.) De Wit	20.00	-	80	-	1.00	-	5.95	-
<i>Mallotus philippensis</i> (Lam.) Muell.-Arg.	20.00	40.00	80	600	1.00	1.50	6.65	16.15
<i>Murraya koenigii</i> Spreng.	100.00	100.00	1520	2240	3.80	5.60	84.03	76.93
<i>Murraya paniculata</i> (L) Jacq.	20.00	-	80	-	1.00	-	6.67	-
<i>Syzygium cumini</i> (L.) Skeels	20.00	20.00	80	800	1.00	2.00	5.32	8.75
<i>Vallis solanacea</i> (Roth) Kuntze, Revis.	-	20.00	-	400	-	1.00	-	7.53
<i>Vitex negundo</i> Linn.	20.00	-	80	-	1.00	-	5.97	-

Table 5: Floristic composition of shrubs in natural forest (site 5)

Shrub species	Frequency		Density ha ⁻¹		Abundance		IVI	
	I st	II nd	I st	II nd	I st	II nd	I st	II nd
<i>Adhatoda zeylanica</i> Nees.	100.00	100.00	1280	1680	3.20	4.20	59.84	62.83
<i>Adina cordifolia</i> Hook f.	40.00	20.00	160	80	1.00	1.00	12.28	5.42
<i>Bauhinia variegata</i> L.	40.00	20.00	160	160	1.00	1.00	11.66	10.34
<i>Bauhinia vahlii</i> W. & A.	40.00	-	160	-	1.00	-	12.45	-
<i>Boehmeria platyphylla</i> D.Don	80.00	-	400	-	1.25	-	20.45	-
<i>Bridelia retusa</i> Spreng	20.00	20.00	80	80	1.00	1.00	5.11	4.59
<i>Callicarpa macrophylla</i> Vahl.	40.00	40.00	160	160	1.00	1.00	9.14	8.32
<i>Casearia tomentosa</i> Roxb.	-	40.00	-	160	-	1.00	-	8.81
<i>Cassia fistula</i> Linn.	-	80.00	-	320	-	1.00	-	24.27
<i>Ehretia laevis</i> Roxb.	20.00	-	80	-	1.00	-	8.07	-
<i>Erythrina indica</i> Lam.	60.00	40.00	240	160	1.00	1.00	19.15	11.23
<i>Eupatorium glandulosum</i> Michx.	20.00	60.00	160	240	2.00	1.00	7.79	13.72
<i>Flacourtia cataphracta</i> Roxb.	60.00	40.00	240	160	1.00	1.00	17.57	10.38
<i>Grewia optiva</i> J. R. Drumm. ex Burret.	-	40.00	-	160	-	1.00	-	9.79
<i>Holarrhena antidysenterica</i> Wall.	20.00	20.00	80	80	1.00	1.00	6.95	6.07
<i>Lantana camara</i> L.	40.00	80.00	640	1680	4.00	5.25	27.35	57.55
<i>Mallotus philippensis</i> (Lam.) Muell.-Arg.	40.00	40.00	160	160	1.00	1.00	9.34	8.48
<i>Millettia auriculata</i> Baker.	40.00	40.00	160	160	1.00	1.00	11.29	10.05
<i>Murraya koenigii</i> Spreng.	40.00	60.00	640	800	4.00	3.33	26.98	29.64
<i>Nyctanthes arbor-tristis</i> Linn.	20.00	40.00	80	160	1.00	1.00	5.20	9.34
<i>Pogostemon benghalense</i> (Burm.F.) O.Kuntz.	20.00	-	80	-	1.00	-	4.85	-
<i>Sapium insigne</i> Royle	40.00	-	160	-	1.00	-	8.46	-
<i>Randia dumetorum</i> Lamk.	40.00	40.00	160	160	1.00	1.00	10.21	9.17
<i>Mimosa himalayana</i> Gamble	20.00	-	80	-	1.00	-	5.84	-

In site 3, again maximum IVI values were recorded for *Lantana camara* (61.41 and 82.76) during both the years of study (Table 3). In site 4, highest IVI was recorded for *Lantana camara* (88.75 and 156.18) during both the periods of study while lowest IVI was recorded for *Ageratum conyzoides* (5.23) in the first year of study while *Vallisneria spiralis* has the lowest IVI value during the second year of study (Table 4). In the natural forest *Adhatoda zeylanica* showed the maximum IVI (59.84 and 63.83) during both the study period while *Pogostemon benghalense* showed minimum IVI (4.85) during the first year of study while *Adina cordifolia* showed the lowest IVI (5.42) during second year of study (Table 5).

4. Discussion

The examination of data recorded indicates that the pattern of frequency is more even in the restored sites which are also similar to the adjoining natural forest. Among shrub vegetation *Lantana camara* remained the dominant species. *Lantana camara* has invaded the area and in restored sites it has gained the maximum IVI. Bhatt (1990) has reported the presence of planted species like *Eriophorum comosum*, *Pennisetum purpureum* and *Saccharum spontaneum* after 8 years of restoration in the same area but after 23 years of succession these species has been replaced by higher successional species. The critical examination of the data shows that although some of the planted species like *Agave sisalana*, *Dodonea viscosa* and *Rumex hastatus* are still present but their density has declined considerably through the entire year of successional development. The widespread dominance of natural invaders like *Eupatorium glandulosum*, *Desmodium gangeticum*, *Artemisia vulgaris*, *Boehmeria platyphylla*, *Woodfordia fruticosa* and *Lantana camara* indicates that the restored site is proceeding towards similar characteristics of the adjacent natural forest. It is interesting to note that while natural invaders recorded an increase in the percentage contribution to overall density, the species introduced initially showed an increasing mortality. These findings support the earlier studies which show that planted species do not persist because local species required less maintenance and provide compatibility with surrounding sites (Luken, 1990).

5. References

1. Banerjee, S.K. A.J. Williams; S.C. Biswar; R.B. Manjhi and T.K. Mishra (1996), dynamics of Natural ecorestoration in coal mine overburden of dry deciduous zone in M.P. India. *Ecol. Env. & Cons*, 2, pp 97-104.
2. Bhatt, V. (1990), biocoenological succession in reclaimed rock phosphate mine of Doon Valley. Ph.D. thesis, H.N. Bahuguna Garhwal University, Srinagar (U.K.)
3. Bradshaw, A.D. and Chadwick, M.J. (1980), the restoration of land. Blackwell Scientific Publication Oxford.
4. Curtis, J.T. and Cottom, G. (1956), plant Ecology workbook laboratory field reference manual. Minnesota, Burgess Publishing Co. pp 193.
5. Curtis, J.T. and McIntosh, R.P. (1950), the interactions of certain analytic and synthetic phytosociological characters. *Ecology* 31, 434-455.
6. Gibson, D.J., Johnson, F.L. and Risser, P.G. (1985), revegetation of un-reclaimed coal strip mines in Oklahoma. 11. Plant communities. *Reclamation and revegetation Research*, 4, pp 31-47.

7. Luken, O.J. (1990), directing ecological succession. Chapman and Hall, University press Cambridge, pp 127-251.
8. Misra, R. (1968), *Ecology Work Book*, Oxford and IBH Publishing Co. New Delhi.(pH)
9. Philip, E.A. (1959), methods of vegetation study. Henry Holf and Co. Ing.
10. Roberts, R.D., Marrs, R.H., Skeffington, R.A. and Bradshaw, A.D. (1981), ecosystem development on naturally colonized china clay wastes. Vegetation changes and overall accumulation of organic matter and nutrients. *Journal of Ecology*, 6(9), pp 153-161
11. Soni, P. and Vasistha, H.B. (1985), reclamation of rock phosphate mine at Maldeota. In: (Eds) Sharma, M.R. & Gupta, B.K. *Proc. Recent advances in plant science*. Bishen Singh and Mahendra Pal Singh, Dehradun.
12. Soni, P., Vasistha, H.B., Kumar O., Bhatt, V. and Negi, M. (1989), Revegetation and ecological monitoring of open cast rock phosphate mine. Reclamation: A global perspective. *Alberta Land Cons. and Rel Report 2*, pp 237-333.
13. Shikha Uniyal Gairola and Prafulla Soni, (2011), Successional Changes in Herb Vegetation Community in an Age Series of Restored Mined Land- A Case Study of Uttarakhand India, *E-International Scientific Research Journal*, 3(1),pp 117-127.