



## Yield and Quality of Sweet potato Influenced by Tillage and Nutrient Management in Sandy Loams of Onattukara in Kerala

Bavigadda Kavya<sup>1</sup>, Atul Jayapal<sup>2\*</sup>, Shalini Pillai P<sup>3</sup>, Mini, V<sup>4</sup>, Nishan M A<sup>5</sup> and Ancy G Martin<sup>6</sup>

College of Agriculture, Vellayani  
Kerala Agricultural University, Thrissur, India 680656

### ABSTRACT

An experiment was conducted to examine the effect of different tillage practices and nutrient management on yield and quality of sweet potato in the Onattukara sandy plains. The experiment was laid out in split plot design with three main plot treatments, four subplot treatments and four replications. The main plot treatments were reduced tillage (T<sub>1</sub>), ridge tillage (T<sub>2</sub>) and conventional tillage (T<sub>3</sub>). The subplots treatments were 100% RDF (n<sub>1</sub>), n<sub>1</sub> + magnesium sulphate @ 0.2% foliar spray @ 30 DAP (n<sub>2</sub>), n<sub>1</sub> + borax @ 0.2% foliar spray @ 30 DAP (n<sub>3</sub>) and n<sub>2</sub> + borax @ 0.2% foliar spray @ 30 DAP (n<sub>4</sub>). The results revealed that, in the *Onattukara* sandy loam soils of Kerala, higher number of tubers per vine, marketable tubers per vine, tuber yield per hectare and vitamin C can be obtained, when sweet potato vines were planted under reduced tillage (only one shallow primary tillage) and supplied with the recommended dose of fertilizers (FYM @ 10 t/ha, N, P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> and K<sub>2</sub>O @ 75:50:75 kg/ha) along with one foliar application of magnesium sulphate followed by borax (both @ 0.2%) at 30 days after planting.

**Key Words:** Borax, Magnesium sulphate, *Onattukara*, Quality, Sweet potato

### INTRODUCTION

Sweet Potato [*Ipomea batatas* L. (Lam)] is a herbaceous root crop belonging to the Convolvulaceae family. The crop has a duration of 90 to 130 days depending on the variety. Sweet potato is grown throughout the tropical and subtropical countries for its edible tubers. In India, it is cultivated as an important food crop for humans and also as feed for domestic animals. The tubers of sweet potato are having a number of health benefits as it contains several antioxidants and anti-inflammatory properties. Soil compaction of agricultural soils is a well-recognized global problem. One of the most effective ways to reduce compaction is tillage. Tillage helps to optimize the productivity of crops by reducing the physical, chemical and biological stresses on the soil. Sweet potato being a root crop responds differently to tillage. *Onattukara* region of Alappuzha district has sandy loam soils and is

ideally suited for cultivation of sweet potato. Being sandy loam, the soil has high porosity and hence the retention of nutrients in soil is very low. Deficiency of essential nutrients in soil is a major constraint for crop production, especially sweet potato. The response of sweet potato to tillage and nutrient management in the problem zone of Onattukara has not received adequate research attention. Hence, the present study was undertaken with the objective of assessment of different tillage practices and nutrient management on the yield and quality of sweet potato in the Onattukara sandy plains.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

A field experiment entitled 'Tillage and nutrient management for yield and quality of sweet potato in Onattukara Sandy Plains' was conducted in the Instructional Farm attached to Onattukara Regional Agricultural Research Station, Kayamkulam, Kerala during November 2023 to

Corresponding Author's Email - atul.j@kau.in  
1,2,3,5 & 6 College of Agriculture, Vellayani  
4 Onattukara Regional Agricultural Research Station, Kayamkulam  
Affiliations: Kerala Agricultural University, Thrissur, India 680656

March 2024. Onattukara tract is considered as a problem soil region due to the sandy loam nature of the soil and higher water table. The crops cultivated here yield best when the full recommended dose of nutrients were supplied to them. The experiment was laid out in split plot design with three types of tillage as the main plot treatments, four nutrient management measures as subplot treatments and were replicated four times. The main plot treatments were reduced tillage ( $T_1$ ), ridge tillage ( $T_2$ ) and conventional tillage ( $T_3$ ). The subplots treatments were 100% RDF ( $n_1$ ),  $n_1$  + magnesium sulphate @ 0.2% foliar spray @ 30 DAP ( $n_2$ ),  $n_1$  + borax @ 0.2% foliar spray @ 30 DAP ( $n_3$ ) and  $n_2$  + borax @ 0.2% foliar spray @ 30 DAP ( $n_4$ ).

The experimental field was previously cropped with rice before raising sweet potato. After the harvest of rice crop, the stubbles were incorporated into the main field by a shallow primary tillage and dolomite @ 1 t/ha was uniformly applied. The whole experimental area was divided as per treatments into reduced tillage, ridge tillage and conventional tillage. One additional tillage to a depth of 30 cm was done, to bring the soil to a fine tilth in conventionally prepared plots. Raised beds were prepared for planting sweet potato vines in reduced tillage and conventional tillage plots. For ridge tillage, ridges were formed at the time of land preparation. Manures and fertilizers were applied as per KAU (2016). As a basal dose, well decomposed FYM @ 10 t/ha along with half N, full P and full K of the recommended dose of chemical fertilizers (75:50:75 N,  $P_2O_5$ ,  $K_2O$  kg/ha) was given to all the plots. The remaining half N was given as split dose at one month after planting along with foliar application of magnesium sulphate and borax as per the treatments. Vines of sweet potato *var.* Sree Arun was used as planting material for the main field. Sree Arun is a high yielding, early maturing variety with pink skin and cream flesh released from ICAR-CTCRI (Central Tuber Crops Research Institute) Thiruvananthapuram, Kerala. The sweet potato vines were planted at a spacing of 60 cm x 20 cm. Interculture, weeding and earthing up was done at 30 DAP before foliar application of nutrients.

Five observational plants from each plot were tagged for observation. At harvest, the total number of tubers from each observational plants were counted and the average was worked out to find the number of tubers per vine. The total numbers of marketable tubers per plant were worked out by counting the number of marketable tubers from each observational plants and their average was calculated. The tubers with less than 2.5 cm diameter were considered as non-marketable tubers. The tuber yield obtained from the net plot area of all the treatments were measured separately and was expressed in t/ha. The net weight of vines was also calculated and expressed in t/ha. For estimating the quality, starch content, total sugars, vitamin C, carotene content, crude protein and crude fibre was analysed. The starch content of the tubers was estimated by AOAC (1975) on fresh weight basis. The total sugars were estimated as per the method described by Ranganna (1977) and was expressed as percentage on fresh weight basis. Vitamin C content was estimated on fresh weight basis by following the spectrophotometric method and the values were expressed in mg/100 g. The carotene content (mg/100g) on dry weight basis was determined as per the method described by Sadasivam and Manickam (2008). The plant crude protein content at harvest was calculated using Micro-Kjeldahl digestion and distillation method (Simpson *et al*, 1965) and was expressed as percentage. Plant crude fibre was analysed using Weende method (AOAC, 1990) and was expressed in percentage on dry weight basis.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### Effect on Yield and Yield Attributes

The number of tubers per vine was significantly influenced by tillage (Table 1). Reduced tillage ( $T_1$ ) produced significantly higher number of tubers per vine (3.51) and was found to be on a par with ridge tillage (3.48). Conventional tillage ( $T_3$ ) could produce only 1.39 tubers per vine. There was an overall increase of 152.2 percent in the number of tubers per vine due to reduced tillage. The presence of stubbles of previous rice crop in reduced tillage might have provided an ideal soil physical condition for the development of sweet potato tubers per vine. Peter

## Yield and Quality of Sweet potato Influenced by Tillage and Nutrient Management

(2008) had earlier reported higher number of cassava tubers per plant under zero tillage followed by ridge tillage. Ahmed *et al* (2012) had also observed increased tuberous roots from reduced tillage and ridge tillage due to the loose top soil in which storage roots were grown. The foliar application of magnesium sulphate followed by foliar application of borax ( $n_4$ ) had significantly influenced the number of tubers per vine. The treatment  $n_4$ , recorded significantly higher number of tubers per vine (3.08 tubers per vine) and is 18.46 percent higher than the control ( $n_1$ ). Magnesium, a constituent of the ring structure of chlorophyll, along with boron, which helps in the regulation of carbohydrates and translocation of starches, might have contributed to the increased production of photosynthates leading to a higher number of tubers per vine in  $n_4$  treatment. El-Metwaly and Mansour (2019) had earlier reported an increased number of tubers per plant in potato due to application of magnesium sulphate in soil and as foliar spray. Sharaf-Eldin *et al* (2019) had reported an increase in number of tubers per vine in sweet potato due to foliar application of boron @ 50 ppm. No significant difference for interaction was obtained for the number of tubers per vine.

### Marketable Tubers

The data on number of marketable tubers are given in Table 1 and Table 2. The number of marketable tubers per vine followed a similar trend as that of number of tubers per vine. Significantly higher number of marketable tubers per vine (3.35) was observed for ridge tillage and was found to be on a par with reduced tillage ( $T_1$  - 3.31). This might be due to the reduced soil compaction and better aeration in reduced and ridge tillage compared to the conventional tillage. Plants in conventional tillage could produce only 1.28 number of marketable tubers per vine. Similar results of increased number of marketable tubers per vine was observed by Anikwe *et al* (2007) in cocoyams that were raised in ridge seed beds. Foliar application of magnesium sulphate and borax ( $n_4$ ) had significant influence on the number of marketable tubers per vine (2.92). There was an overall increase of 19.18 percent in the number of marketable tubers per vine

compared to  $n_1$  (100%RDF). The application of magnesium sulphate along with boron had significantly increased the number of tubers per vine and this was reflected in the production of significantly higher number of marketable tubers per vine. Foliar application of magnesium sulphate might have increased the production of photosynthates which led to an increase in number of marketable tubers per vine. In addition to magnesium sulphate, foliar application of boron might have helped in translocation of more starches to the tuber. In the Onattukara region of Alappuzha district, Kerala, cracking of sweet potato tubers due to deficiency of boron is a serious problem faced by farmers. This affects the marketability of tubers in the area. The tubers obtained in the treatment  $n_4$  was free from tuber cracking. Similar results of increased total marketable yield in sweet potato was also reported by Sharaf-Eldin *et al* (2019), when boron (@ 50 ppm) was sprayed as foliar at 60 and 90 DAP. Findings by Singh *et al* (2024) also suggested that spraying of  $MgSO_4$  and  $CaNO_3$  @ 2% increased the marketable yield of potato. The interaction effects were found to be significant for the number of marketable tubers per vine (Table 2). The treatment combination  $T_2n_4$  was found to produce significantly higher number of marketable tubers per vine (3.70) and this was found to be at par with the treatment  $T_1n_4$  (3.60). Thus, for obtaining increased number of marketable tubers per vine, sweet potato vines should either be raised in ridges or under reduced tillage and should be supplied with the full recommended dose of fertilizers along with one foliar application of magnesium sulphate (@ 0.2 %) and borax (@ 0.2 %) at 30 days after planting. Regardless of the nutrient management, conventional tillage produced lower number of marketable tubers per vine. There was an increase of 208.33 percent of number of marketable tubers per vine in  $T_2n_4$  over  $T_3n_1$ .

### Tuber Yield

Among tillage, significantly higher tuber yield per hectare (20.28 t/ha) was recorded for reduced tillage ( $T_1$ ) (Table 1). The yield attributes of sweet potato *viz.* number of tubers per vine and number of marketable tubers per vine were observed to be significantly higher for reduced

**Table 1. Effect of tillage and nutrient management on yield and yield attributes of sweet potato**

Treatments	Number of tubers per vine	Number of marketable tubers per vine	Tuber yield (t/ha)
<b>Tillage</b>			
T <sub>1</sub> – reduced tillage	3.51	3.31	20.28
T <sub>2</sub> – ridge tillage	3.48	3.35	17.41
T <sub>3</sub> – Conventional tillage	1.39	1.28	5.99
SEm (±)	0.09	0.09	0.12
CD (0.05)	0.294	0.313	0.404
<b>Nutrient management</b>			
n <sub>1</sub> – 100% RDF	2.60	2.45	13.59
n <sub>2</sub> – n <sub>1</sub> + MgSO <sub>4</sub> @ 0.2% foliar spray	2.72	2.53	14.27
n <sub>3</sub> – n <sub>1</sub> + borax @ 0.2% foliar spray	2.77	2.68	14.77
n <sub>4</sub> – n <sub>2</sub> + borax @ 0.2% foliar spray	3.08	2.92	15.62
SEm (±)	0.05	0.05	0.24
CD (0.05)	0.145	0.313	0.696

\*RDF – Recommended dose of fertilizers

tillage. These increased yield attributes had led to the production of significantly higher tuber yield per hectare due to reduced tillage in sweet potato. The incorporation of biomass like stubbles of previous crop of rice, might have also improved the soil environment, creating higher moisture retention in soil thereby, increasing the yield of sweet potato from reduced tillage. There was an overall yield increase of 233.22 percent in reduced tillage compared to conventional tillage. Conventional tillage could produce only 5.99 tonnes of tubers per hectare which might be due to soil compaction that restricted the root growth and reduced its access to nutrients. Gopika (2024) had also reported significantly higher tuber yield (16.20 t/ha) in Chinese potato with reduce tillage along with surface retention of cowpea. Nutrient management had significantly influenced the tuber yield per hectare in sweet potato. Among the treatments, n<sub>4</sub> produced significantly higher tuber yield of 15.62 t/ha. Magnesium, being a part of chlorophyll helps in photosynthesis and aids in the formation and development of sink organs such as

seeds and roots (Ceylan *et al*, 2016). During photosynthesis, light energy is converted to chemical energy for producing sugar which needs to be transported to the sink (roots). This distribution of sugars is facilitated by boron. Hence, the treatment n<sub>4</sub> which involved the foliar application of magnesium and boron, might have helped in the production of significantly higher tuber yield per hectare. Among the treatment combinations, T<sub>1</sub>n<sub>4</sub> and T<sub>1</sub>n<sub>3</sub> produced significantly higher tuber yield with 21.81 t/ha and 21.07 t/ha respectively (Table 2). The tuber yield was found to increase by 280.63 percent in T<sub>1</sub>n<sub>4</sub> compared to T<sub>3</sub>n<sub>1</sub>, asserting the dominance of reduced tillage and foliar nutrition to conventional tillage and recommended dose of nutrition.

#### Effect on Quality Attributes

Tuber quality of sweet potato was assessed in terms of starch, total sugars, vitamin C, carotene, crude protein and crude fibre. No significant effects were obtained in any of the treatments for starch percentage and total sugars

## Yield and Quality of Sweet potato Influenced by Tillage and Nutrient Management

**Table 2. Interaction effect of tillage and nutrient management on yield and yield attributes of sweet potato**

Treatments	Number of tubers per vine	Number of marketable tubers per vine	Tuber yield (t/ha)
T <sub>1</sub> n <sub>1</sub>	3.45	3.20	18.60
T <sub>1</sub> n <sub>2</sub>	3.35	3.05	19.66
T <sub>1</sub> n <sub>3</sub>	3.50	3.40	21.07
T <sub>1</sub> n <sub>4</sub>	3.75	3.60	21.81
T <sub>2</sub> n <sub>1</sub>	3.10	2.95	16.46
T <sub>2</sub> n <sub>2</sub>	3.50	3.35	17.05
T <sub>2</sub> n <sub>3</sub>	3.45	3.40	17.30
T <sub>2</sub> n <sub>4</sub>	3.85	3.70	18.83
T <sub>3</sub> n <sub>1</sub>	1.25	1.20	5.73
T <sub>3</sub> n <sub>2</sub>	1.30	1.20	6.10
T <sub>3</sub> n <sub>3</sub>	1.35	1.25	5.93
T <sub>3</sub> n <sub>4</sub>	1.65	1.45	6.21
SE (m) A/B (±)	0.09	0.09	0.42
SE (m) B/A (±)	0.11	0.12	0.38
CD (0.05) A/B	NS	0.263	1.205
CD (0.05) B/A	NS	0.386	1.117

\*NS – Not significant

\* A/B – Factor (B) at same levels of Factor A

\* B/A – Factor (A) at same levels of Factor B

(Table 3). Significantly higher vitamin C content was observed for reduced tillage (T<sub>1</sub>- 20.60 mg/100g) and was found to be on a par with conventional tillage (T<sub>3</sub> - 19.99 mg /100g). Similar reports of significantly higher vitamin C was also reported in sweet potato raised in flat beds under irrigated conditions by Saqib *et al* (2017). No significant effects were observed for nutrient management and interaction. Significantly higher carotene content was observed for the treatment T<sub>2</sub> (ridge tillage) with 0.60 mg /100g (Table 5). There was significant difference in nutrient management for carotene content in sweet potato. Magnesium is crucial for photosynthesis and it also plays a role in synthesizing carotene. Boron is also attributed to increasing concentration of carotene and was confirmed by the study conducted by Younis *et al* (2024) who reported higher carotene content in sweet potato tubers when borax was sprayed.

There was significance for interaction effects. Significantly higher carotene content was observed for the treatment T<sub>2</sub>n<sub>4</sub> with 0.89 mg/100g. Crude fibre and crude protein were not significantly influenced by any of the treatments.

### CONCLUSION

In *Onattukara* sandy loam soils of Kerala, higher number of tubers per vine, marketable tubers per vine, tuber yield per hectare and vitamin C can be obtained from sweet potato, when the vines are planted under reduced tillage (only one shallow primary tillage) and supplied with the recommended dose of fertilizers (FYM @ 10 t/ ha, N, P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> and K<sub>2</sub>O @ 75:50:75 kg/ ha) along with one foliar application of magnesium sulphate followed by borax (both @ 0.2%) at 30 days after planting.

**Table 3. Effect of tillage and nutrient management on quality attributes of sweet potato**

Treatments	Starch (%)	Total sugars (%)	Vitamin C (mg/100g)
<b>Tillage</b>			
T <sub>1</sub> – reduced tillage	21.01	4.86	20.60
T <sub>2</sub> – ridge tillage	20.51	4.90	18.54
T <sub>3</sub> – Conventional tillage	20.36	4.82	19.99
SEm (±)	0.22	0.07	0.21
CD (0.05)	NS	NS	0.722
<b>Nutrient management</b>			
n <sub>1</sub> – 100% RDF	20.55	4.90	20.13
n <sub>2</sub> – n <sub>1</sub> + MgSO <sub>4</sub> @ 0.2% foliar spray	21.09	4.98	19.82
n <sub>3</sub> – n <sub>1</sub> + borax @ 0.2% foliar spray	20.49	4.80	19.60
n <sub>4</sub> – n <sub>2</sub> + borax @ 0.2% foliar spray	20.38	4.75	19.28
SEm (±)	0.16	0.65	0.66
CD (0.05)	NS	NS	NS

\*RDF – Recommended dose of fertilizers

\*NS – Not significant

**Table 4. Interaction effect of tillage and nutrient management on quality attributes of sweet potato**

Treatments	Starch (%)	Total sugars (%)	Vitamin C (mg/100g)
T <sub>1</sub> n <sub>1</sub>	20.74	4.95	20.42
T <sub>1</sub> n <sub>2</sub>	21.60	4.84	20.35
T <sub>1</sub> n <sub>3</sub>	20.94	4.69	20.42
T <sub>1</sub> n <sub>4</sub>	20.76	4.95	21.20
T <sub>2</sub> n <sub>1</sub>	20.26	4.96	19.55
T <sub>2</sub> n <sub>2</sub>	21.50	4.81	20.35
T <sub>2</sub> n <sub>3</sub>	20.66	4.86	17.97
T <sub>2</sub> n <sub>4</sub>	19.63	4.79	16.30
T <sub>3</sub> n <sub>1</sub>	20.65	5.03	20.42
T <sub>3</sub> n <sub>2</sub>	20.18	4.76	18.75
T <sub>3</sub> n <sub>3</sub>	19.88	4.70	20.42
T <sub>3</sub> n <sub>4</sub>	20.75	4.95	20.35
SE (m) A/B (±)	0.34	0.16	1.15
SE (m) B/A (±)	0.37	0.14	1.02
CD (0.05) A/B	NS	NS	NS
CD (0.05) B/A	NS	NS	NS

\*NS – Not significant

\* A/B – Factor (B) at same levels of Factor A

\* B/A – Factor (A) at same levels of Factor B

## Yield and Quality of Sweet potato Influenced by Tillage and Nutrient Management

**Table 5. Effect of tillage and nutrient management on quality attributes of sweet potato (continued)**

Treatments	Carotene (mg/100 g)	Crude fibre (%)	Crude protein (%)
<b>Tillage</b>			
T <sub>1</sub> – reduced tillage	0.48	2.15	5.51
T <sub>2</sub> – ridge tillage	0.60	2.19	5.47
T <sub>3</sub> – Conventional tillage	0.42	2.22	5.61
SEm (±)	0.02	0.05	0.10
CD (0.05)	0.057	NS	NS
<b>Nutrient management</b>			
n <sub>1</sub> – 100% RDF	0.39	2.16	5.50
n <sub>2</sub> – n <sub>1</sub> + MgSO <sub>4</sub> @ 0.2% foliar spray	0.48	2.17	5.46
n <sub>3</sub> – n <sub>1</sub> + borax @ 0.2% foliar spray	0.47	2.16	5.51
n <sub>4</sub> – n <sub>2</sub> + borax @ 0.2% foliar spray	0.65	2.26	5.65
SEm (±)	0.03	0.05	0.16
CD (0.05)	0.098	NS	NS

\*RDF – Recommended dose of fertilizers

\*NS – Not significant

### REFERENCES

- Ahmed M, Nigussie-Dechassa R and Abebie B (2012). Effect of planting methods and vine harvesting on shoot and tuberous root yields of sweet potato [*Ipomoea batatas* (L.) Lam.] in the Afar region of Ethiopia. *African J Agri Res* 7(7):1129-1141.
- Anikwe M A N, Mbah C N, Ezeaku P I, Onyia V N (2007). Tillage and plastic mulch effects on soil properties and growth and yield of cocoyam (*Colocasia esculenta*) on an ultisol in south-eastern Nigeria. *Soil and Tillage Res* 93: 264–272.
- AOAC [Association of Official Agricultural Chemists]. (1975). *Official Methods of Analysis*. Association of Official Agricultural Chemists, Washington, D. C., 350p.
- AOAC [Association of Official Agricultural Chemists]. (1990). *Official Methods of Analysis* (15<sup>th</sup> ed.). Inc., Arlington. 771p.
- Ceylan Y, Kutman, U B, Mengutay M and Cakmak I (2016). Magnesium applications to growth medium and foliage affect the starch distribution, increase the grain size and improve the seed germination in wheat. *Pl Soil* 406:145-156.
- El-Metwaly, H M B and Mansour F Y O (2019). Effect of addition methods of magnesium and calcium foliar application on productivity and quality of potato crop in winter plantation. *Fayoum J Agri Res Dev* 33(1): 148-158.
- Gopika K.T. (2024). *Conservation tillage and nano nitrogen nutrition in Chinese potato [Plectranthus rotundifolius (Poir). Spreng]* M.Sc. (Ag) thesis, Kerala Agricultural University, Thrissur.130p.
- KAU [Kerala Agricultural University] (2016). *Package of Practices Recommendations: Crops* (15<sup>th</sup> Ed.). Kerala Agricultural University, Thrissur, 392p.

**Table 6. Interaction effect of tillage and nutrient management on quality attributes of sweet potato (continued)**

Treatments	Carotene (mg/100g)	Crude fibre (%)	Crude protein (%)
T <sub>1</sub> n <sub>1</sub>	0.30	2.10	5.52
T <sub>1</sub> n <sub>2</sub>	0.56	2.19	5.51
T <sub>1</sub> n <sub>3</sub>	0.46	2.13	5.42
T <sub>1</sub> n <sub>4</sub>	0.49	2.17	5.60
T <sub>2</sub> n <sub>1</sub>	0.49	2.15	5.55
T <sub>2</sub> n <sub>2</sub>	0.45	2.12	5.16
T <sub>2</sub> n <sub>3</sub>	0.58	2.12	5.52
T <sub>2</sub> n <sub>4</sub>	0.89	2.33	5.64
T <sub>3</sub> n <sub>1</sub>	0.39	2.23	5.42
T <sub>3</sub> n <sub>2</sub>	0.44	2.21	5.71
T <sub>3</sub> n <sub>3</sub>	0.37	2.16	5.60
T <sub>3</sub> n <sub>4</sub>	0.48	2.28	5.71
SE (m) A/B (±)	0.05	0.08	0.27
SE (m) B/A (±)	0.046	0.08	0.26
CD (0.05) A/B	0.142	NS	NS
CD (0.05) B/A	0.135	NS	NS

\*NS – Not significant

\* A/B – Factor (B) at same levels of Factor A

\* B/A – Factor (A) at same levels of Factor B

Peter AO (2008). The impact of tillage systems on soil microclimate, growth and yield of cassava (*Manihot utilisima*) in Midwestern Nigeria. *African J Agri Res* 3(3):225-233.

Ranganna S, 1977. *Manual of Analysis of Fruit and Vegetable Products*, Tata Mc. Graw Hill Publishing Company Ltd., New Delhi. 9-82.

Saqib M, Khalid M, Hussain S and Anjum M A (2017). Effect of water stress and planting system on growth, yield and quality of sweet potato. *Acta Scientiarum Polonorum. Hortorum Cultus* 16(6):201-210.

Sadasivam S and Manickam A (2008). *Biochemical Methods* (3<sup>rd</sup> Ed.), New age International Publishers, New Delhi, India. 56p.

Sharaf-Eldin M A, AbdAlla M A, Mostafa S A and Montaser W E (2019). Boron Foliar Application in Relation to Sweet Potato Productivity. *J Plant Prod* 10(3): 327-333

Simpson J E (1965). *Quality evaluation studies of foreign and domestic rices* (No. 1331). US Department of Agriculture.

Singh N Sharma, R Kumar D and Verma, J (2024). Effect of calcium and magnesium nutrition on vegetative growth and tuber yield of potato (*Solanum tuberosum*). *Environ Conserv J* 25(1): 144-155.

Younis U, Danish S, Daṭa R, Al Obaid S and Ansari M J (2024). Synergistic effects of boron and saponin in mitigating salinity stress to enhance sweet potato growth. *Sci. Reports* 14(1): p.12988

Received on 30/9/2024 Accepted on 2/11/2024