Agric Res J **61** (4): 647-652, *August* 2024
DOI: 10.5958/2395-146X.2024.00079.3

BIOCONTROL POTENTIAL OF BACTERIAL ISOLATES AGAINST SOIL BORNE PHYTOPATHOGEN *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* (LIB.) DE BARY, CAUSAL AGENT OF STEM ROT IN INDIAN MUSTARD

Reetranjan Kaur[1], Sanjula Sharma[2*], Pratibha Vyas[3], Prabhjodh Singh Sandhu[2], Sunidhi Thakiyal[2]

[1]*Department of Biochemistry, Punjab Agricultural University, Ludhiana-141 004, India*
[2]*Department of Plant Breeding and Genetics, Punjab Agricultural University, Ludhiana-141 004, India*
[3]*Department of Microbiology, Punjab Agricultural University, Ludhiana, Punjab-141 004, India*
[4]*Division of Microbiology, ICAR-Indian Agricultural Research Institute, New Delhi, India*

ABSTRACT

Stem rot caused by *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum*, poses a serious threat to *Brassica juncea* (Indian mustard), leading to significant yield losses. The non-availability of genetic resources offering resistance, necessitates the use of fungicides as a method of control. Hence, it becomes imperative to explore effective and eco-friendly biocontrol methods. In this context, we screened fifty-five bacterial isolates, finding *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Serratia proteamaculans* with the potential to inhibit mycelial growth of *S. sclerotiorum* by 42.5% and 44.5%, respectively. Further, the colonization and endophytic nature of both isolates were confirmed by the presence of rod-shaped structures in the roots of ten-day-old seedlings of bacterial treated *B. juncea* through scanning electron microscopic (SEM) studies. In conclusion, our study highlights the biocontrol potential of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Serratia proteamaculans* against stem rot in Indian mustard. Future prospects include elucidating their mechanisms of action, optimizing application methods, and scaling up production for field use.

Keywords: Antagonism, Brassica, Endophytes, SEM, Stem rot

www.IndianJournals.com
Not for Commercial Use
Downloaded From IP - 216.73.216.57 on dated 15-Nov-2026

Brassica juncea (Indian mustard) is a major oilseed crop contributing 90% of total acreage in India. (Sandhu *et al.,* 2023). With a productivity of 1980 kg/ha, the rapeseed-mustard crop spans 36.59 million hectares and yields 72.37 million tonnes of output (Choudhary *et al.,* 2024). As a versatile member of the Brassicaceae family (Cruciferae), it serves various purposes including its use as vegetable, flavouring agents, livestock feed, and as a source of edible oil. However, the survival, growth, and productivity of *B. juncea* are threatened by a number of biotic stress factors such as powdery mildew, downy mildew, white rust, Alternaria leaf blight, and Sclerotinia stem rot. Sclerotinia stem rot, caused by *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum*, has become more prevalent in mustard-growing regions of India such as Rajasthan, Haryana, Punjab, Uttar Pradesh and other states (Gupta *et al.,* 2020).

Sclerotinia sclerotiorum is a fungus that lives mostly in the soil with wide host range. Its infestation in crop showed serious yield reductions up to 80% in regions of Punjab and Haryana (Kang and Chahal, 2000). The pathogen's sclerotia, exhibit remarkable longevity which can persist in the soil for years (Wang *et al.,* 2024). Over 400 host species have been reported to get infected as a result of this prolonged viability (Boland and Hall, 1994). Mycelium and apothecia emerge from the germination of sclerotia. Later, the apothecia grow and mature, releasing asci with ascospores that can spread through the air. Upon contact with host tissue, these ascospores germinate, producing hyphae that infect the lower stem and roots. All above-ground plant parts are susceptible to attack, but the stem being most often affected. Once the stem is completely covered in a white mycelial growth, it begins to wilt and desiccate. According to a study by Gill *et al.* (2021), the pathogenic aggressiveness of *S. sclerotiorum isolates on B. juncea* has been correlated with their oxalic acid production. Controlling Sclerotinia ascospores has proven difficult due to their ability to disperse over large distances (Johnson and Atallah, 2014).

Numerous methods such as utilization of organic soil additives (Asirifi *et al.,* 1994), soil sterilization (Lynch and Ebben, 1986), tillage and irrigation (Bell *et al.,* 1998) as well as zero tillage and crop rotation (Duncan, 2003; Yexin *et al.,* 2011) have been employed for the control of *S. sclerotiorum.* However, these methods fall short due to their lengthy time frame to achieve efficient management and their inability to deliver a total pathogen control. The development of genetically resistant cultivars offers a sustainable, economical, and environmentally beneficial approach to disease control (Li *et al.,* 2006). However, no crop cultivars that are commercially available exhibit complete resistance, primarily because breeding for resistance to Sclerotinia stem rot is a difficult task

*Corresponding author: drsanjula@pau.edu
Date of receipt: 16.04.2024, Date of acceptance: 27.08.2024

because the pathogen is polygenic (Wang *et al.,* 2019). Furthermore, the presence of virulent pathotypes has hindered the development of complete host resistance (Barbetti *et al.,* 2014).

One successful approach for controlling plant diseases is the application of chemicals, notably fungicides, have proven effective in reducing stem rot in rapeseed-mustard crop. These artificial substances can destroy fungus by interfering with their vital biological functions. Fungicide application has shown a significant decrease in stem rot disease incidence in Brassica (Derbyshire and Dento-Giles, 2016). However, the widespread use of fungicides has raised concerns in agriculture due to potential toxicity to non-target organisms and adverse environmental impacts (Gikas *et al.,* 2022). Therefore, sustainable approaches are needed to mitigate the disease while minimizing harm to ecosystems and human health.

There has been growing attention towards the role of endophytic bacteria in strengthening plant defence mechanisms against pathogenic diseases. Microorganisms known as endophytes reside within healthy plants in a mutually beneficial symbiotic relationship, aid in disease resistance through the production of secondary metabolites and antibiotics, while also influencing plant growth by stimulating growth hormones. On the other hand, these endophytic bacteria thrive within the plants, where they receive resources they need to flourish. Due to their ability to prevent ascospore and sclerotia germination, these naturally occurring microorganisms have been shown to significantly reduce disease risk (Fernando *et al.,* 2007). Furthermore, these endophytes help the plant to resist a variety of insects-pests, and other harmful environmental variables (Durvasula *et al.,* 1997; Ramamoorthy *et al.,* 2001).The present study aims to screen the inhibitory action of various bacterial strains against *S. sclerotiorum,* alongside investigating their endophytic nature.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Procurement and revival of bacterial isolates

Forty-one endophytic bacteria isolated from the different parts (roots, stem and leaves) of Indian mustard were procured from the culture repository of the Department of Microbiology, Punjab Agricultural University, Ludhiana while fourteen isolates were sourced from the Division of Microbiology, ICAR-Indian Agricultural Research Institute, New Delhi. These bacterial isolates have been screened for plant growth-promoting activities in the earlier studies (unpublished data) and were revived on nutrient agar (comprising peptone B at 1.5g/L, sodium chloride at 5g/L, yeast extract at 1.5g/L, peptone at 5g/L, and agar at 15g/L) through the process of quadrate streaking using a flame- sterilized inoculation loop, followed by incubation at 28°C for a period of 48 h as described by Pelczar and Reid (1958).

Isolation and purification of S. sclerotiorum

The sclerotia of *S. sclerotiorum* were collected from the severely diseased mustard plants grown in experimental fields of PAU, Ludhiana, India. Surface sterilization of the sclerotium was performed by treating it with 0.1% (v/v) mercuric chloride ($HgCl_2$) for 15 seconds, followed by its subsequent washing thrice with sterile distilled water. Excess moisture was soaked using autoclaved filter paper. The sclerotium was then bisected and cut side was exposed onto the plates containing potato dextrose agar (PDA) (composed of potatoes 200 g/L, dextrose 20 g/L, agar 15g/L).The Petri plates were sealed and incubated at 25±1ºC for 3 to 4 days. The resulting mycelial plug was excised from the culture and transferred onto a fresh PDA plates and incubated again at 25±1ºC for 3 to 4 days. The cultured material thus obtained was preserved for future use.

Antagonism on solid medium by dual plate assay

Under *in vitro* conditions, the ability of bacterial endophytes to antagonize the soil-borne plant pathogenic fungi, *S. sclerotiorum*, was assessed using a dual plate culture method. The fungus was grown and maintained as a pure culture on potato dextrose agar, whereas the endophytic bacteria were cultured on nutrient agar at 28°C for 48 hours. A 5 mm thick mycelial disk from the fungus culture was placed at one end of the yeast malt extract agar plate, whereas the bacterial isolate was streaked onto the opposite end of the same plate. The yeast malt extract agar composition included yeast extract at 3g/L, dextrose at 10g/L, peptone at 5g/L, malt extract at 3g/L, and agar agar at 20g/L. Control plates comprised of the fungal pathogen, with no bacterial culture added. The plates were further incubated at 28°C for a period of 4 days. The percentage of reduction in fungal growth was calculated using the formula:

Reduction in fungal growth (%) = [(control – treated)/ control] × 100

where, control represents the diameter of fungal pathogen colony in plates lacking bacteria, and treated represents the diameter of fungal pathogen colony in plates inoculated with bacterial culture.

Preparation of bacterial inoculum

Bacterial inoculations were carried out by transferring 500 µl of bacterial cultures, previously grown at 28°C in nutrient broth for 24 hours, into 100 ml of fresh nutrient broth. The nutrient broth consisted

www.IndianJournals.com
Not for Commercial Use
Downloaded From IP - 216.73.216.57 on dated 15-Nov-2026

of glucose at 1g/L, peptone at 15g/L, sodium chloride at 6g/L, and yeast extract at 3g/L. These cultures were then incubated at 28°C and with shaking at 180 rpm for 48 hours. After incubation, the cultures were used as inoculum, and their optical density at 600nm was adjusted to 1.0, corresponding to approximately 10^9 colony forming units (CFU) per ml.

Preparation of charcoal-based bioinoculant

The charcoal was sterilized by subjecting it to a temperature of 121°C for 1 h on two alternate days. The bacterial inoculum was mixed with sterilized charcoal in a 1:2 ratio (20 ml inoculum and 40 g of sterilized charcoal) to achieve a concentration of approximately 10^7 cfu per gram of charocoal-based bio inoculant.

Seed treatment

The seeds of *B. juncea* variety PBR 357 were surface sterilized by immersing them in a 20% sodium hypochlorite solution for 3 minutes, followed by rinsing three times with sterile de-ionized water. The slurry containing the charcoal-based inoculum was mixed with seeds and then dried in the shade for half an hour, with a concentration of 25 g per kg of seeds during sowing.

Confirmation of bacterial endophyte colonization in roots of B. juncea plants

The assessment of bacterial endophyte colonization within the roots of *B. juncea* seedlings was conducted through Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) facility available at Sophisticated Analytical Instrumentation Facility, Panjab University, Chandigarh, India. Sterilized seeds of PBR 357 treated with a bacterized charcoal-based slurry were sown in sterilized vermiculite in 12-inch pots. Seedlings from both groups (with and without bacterial treatment) were uprooted after 10 days. Subsequently, root samples were collected and washed with sterile water. To study the colonization, root tissues were dissected into small segments, approximately 1 centimeter in length, using a sterile razor blade. These segments were then fixed in a 2.5% glutaraldehyde solution at a temperature of 4°C followed by removal of fixative after 24 hours and washing the samples thrice for 15 minutes each, with a 0.1 M sodium cacodylate buffer (pH 7.2). Subsequently, 1% osmium tetroxide (OsO_4) was added and kept for 1-2 hours at 4°C. Incubation was followed by draining of OsO_4 and subsequent washing the samples with 0.1 M sodium cacodylate solution for 15 minutes. Dehydration of the samples was achieved through a series of ethanol washes, ranging from 30% to 100% concentration, followed by drying in vaccum dessicator. The samples were then coated with gold particles in accordance with established protocol guidelines, and their morphological changes were examined at various magnifications using scanning electron microscopy (SEM) (Singh *et al.,* 2014).

Statistical Analysis

The experiment was conducted in triplicates and the data from the *in vitro* growth inhibition was analyzed statistically ($P < 0.05$) on the basis of mean values with one factor analysis and Tukey's Test using OPSTAT software.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Antagonism on solid medium by dual plate assay

In the present study, fifty-five endophytic bacterial isolates were screened for their antagonistic activity against *S. sclerotiorum* using dual culture plate assay on yeast malt extract agar medium. Such assays have been widely used in various research studies to assess the antifungal potential of different endophytes against range of fungal pathogens (Helmy, 2016; Sun *et al.,* 2017; Vinodkumar *et al.,* 2017; Ribeiro *et al.,* 2021; Vyas and Singh, 2024). Out of fifty-five bacterial isolates tested, two isolates namely *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (Accession no. OL413676) and *Serratia proteamaculans* (Accession no. OP595540) obtained from the Division of Microbiology, IARI, New Delhi and Department of Microbiology, PAU, Ludhiana, respectively, exhibited a significant growth inhibition of 42.5% and 44.5%, respectively against *S. sclerotiorum* (Table1, Fig. 1). The presence of clear inhibition zone between the bacterial culture and actively growing fungus further confirms the antagonistic activity of these isolates.

The bacterial strains displayed consistent antagonistic behaviour across repeated tests, leading to a significant reduction in fungal growth. The mean fungal diameter measurements at 4.4 cm for *S.*

Table 1. Mycelial diameter of *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* after 4 days incubation at 28ºC

Bacterial isolate	Fungal mycelial diameter (cm)	Inhibition (%)
Pseudomonas aeruginosa	4.6±0.05^a	42.5±0.72^a
Serratia proteamaculans	4.4±0.12^a	44.5±1.50^a
Control	8.5±0^b	0.00±0.00^b

Data represent mean ± standard error of three replicates. Superscript letters within the same column indicate no statistical difference based on Tukey's test at $P < 0.05$

www.IndianJournals.com
Not for Commercial Use
Downloaded From IP - 216.73.216.57 on dated 15-Nov-2026




Fig. 1. Antagonism assessment of bacterial isolates against *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* on yeast malt extract agar. (A) Control without bacteria; (B) *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and (C) *Serratia proteamaculans* after 4 days of incubation at 28ºC

www.IndianJournals.com
Not for Commercial Use
Downloaded From IP - 216.73.216.57 on dated 15-Nov-2026

proteamaculans and 4.6 cm for *P. aeruginosa* (Table 1) further support this observation with *P. aeruginosa* and *S. proteamaculans* showing notable inhibitory effects. Bacteria belonging to both genera have been proven effective in combating Sclerotinia in oilseed rape in previous studies by Li *et al* (2011), Smolinska and Kowalska (2018), Schmidt *et al* (2021). An isolate of *P. aeruginosa* effectively suppressed the growth of *S. sclerotiorum* by substantially hindering the mycelial growth and sclerotia formation (Gupta *et al.,* 2006). This suppression is likely attributed to the production of secondary metabolites by these bacteria. Pseudomonas species are known to produce a variety of metabolites such as pyrrolnitrin, lipopeptides, volatile organic compounds (VOCs), including hydrogen cyanide (HCN), 1-undecene, 1-decene and tridecane (Montes-Osuna *et al.,* 2022, Pellicciaro *et al.,* 2022, Raio *et al.,* 2024). On the other hand, VOCs including dimethyl disulfide and 2-heptanone produced by *S. proteamaculans* is suggested to contribute the fungistatic effect (Popova *et al.,* 2014). The diversity of these molecules indicates the presence of varied molecular mechanisms responsible for the inhibition of pathogen growth. Consequently, this emphasizes the possibility of using these bacterial isolates as natural alternatives to chemical fungicides for disease management, thereby reducing the reliance on synthetic chemicals in agriculture. These findings highlight the efficacy of diverse bacterial isolates, particularly *P. aeruginosa* and *S. proteamaculans,* in suppressing the growth of *S. sclerotiorum*. Moreover, the utilization of dual plate assays has been a valuable tool in assessing antagonistic properties of these microorganisms, emphasizing its importance in microbiological research.

Colonisation of bacterial endophytes in roots of *B. juncea* seedlings

The Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) analysis conducted on 10-days-old *B. juncea* seedlings grown in sterilized vermiculite provided crucial insights into the endophytic nature of the selected bacterial isolates. The micrographs revealed the presence of bacterial colonization within the treated roots, characterized by distinct rod shaped structures (Fig. 2). In contrast, the root surface of un-inoculated *B. juncea* seedlings appeared smooth, devoid of any bacterial colonization. The presence of rod-shaped structures within the root tissues strongly suggests the entry and colonization of




Fig. 2. Scanning Electron Micrograph of root of 10 day old seedling of *B. juncea* confirming bacterial colonization of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (white arrows) (B) and *Serratia proteamaculans* (white arrows) (C) in comparison to un-inoculated control (A) at different magnifications

endophytic bacteria, and were found to be consistent with previous reports (Gupta and Pandey, 2020; Shah *et al.,* 2021). Moreover, the observed structures in the root tissues closely match the morphology of the bacterial isolates used in our study, further confirming their endophytic nature (Neupane *et al.,* 2013; Diggle and Whiteley, 2020). The observations highlight the ability of the selected bacterial isolates, namely *P. aeruginosa* and *S. proteamaculans,* to establish themselves as endophytes within the root tissues of *B. juncea* seedlings. By residing within the plant tissues, these endophytic bacteria may exert their antagonistic effects against the fungal pathogen through various indirect mechanisms, such as induction of plant defense responses.

The dual culture assay enabled the identification of two best strains responsible for inhibiting the mycelial growth of *S. sclerotiorum*. The selected strains were able to colonize the roots of *B. juncea* as indicated by the micrographs of SEM, thus confirming its endophytic nature. Additional *in vivo* studies are needed to further assess their potential in enhancing the defense response against stem rot disease in *B. juncea.* Understanding their behaviour within the plant and their interactions with the pathogen will be essential for elucidating their potential as biocontrol agents.

www.IndianJournals.com
Not for Commercial Use
Downloaded From IP - 216.73.216.57 on dated 15-Nov-2026

Acknowledgements

The authors are thankful to Dr Archana Suman, ICAR-Indian Agricultural Research Institute, New Delhi, India for providing bacterial strains for conducting the research. We also thank Sophisticated Analytical Instrumentation Facility, Panjab University, Chandigarh, India for their assistance in SEM studies.

Authors' contribution

Conceptualization and designing of the research work (SS); Execution of lab experiments (R, SS, PV, PSS); Analysis of data and interpretation (SS, R, PV, PSS, ST); Preparation of the manuscript (SS, R & ST).

LITERATURE CITED

Asirifi K, Morgan W C and Parbery D 1994. Suppression of Sclerotinia soft rot of lettuce with organic soil amendments. *Anim Prod Sci* **34**: 131-36.

Barbetti M J, Banga S K, Fu T D, Li Y C, Singh D, Liu S Y, Ge X T and Banga S S 2014. Comparative genotype reactions to *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* within breeding populations of *Brassica napus* and *B. juncea* from India and China. *Euphytica* **197**: 47-59.

Bell A A, Liu L, Reidy B, Davis R M and Subbarao K V 1998. Mechanisms of subsurface drip irrigation-mediated suppression of lettuce drop caused by *Sclerotinia minor*. *Phytopathol* **88**: 252-59.

Boland G J and Hall R 1994. Index of plant hosts of *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum*. *Can J Plant Pathol* **16**: 93-100.

Choudhary G L, Shivran A C and Dadarwal B K 2024. Effect of integrated nutrient management on yield and qualitative characters of mustard [*Brassica juncea* (L.) Czern. & Coss]. *Int J Res Agron* **7**: 1-5.

Derbyshire M C and Denton-Giles M 2016. The control of Sclerotinia stem rot on oilseed rape (*Brassica napus*): Current practices and future opportunities. *Plant Pathol* **6**: 859-77.

Diggle S P and Whiteley M 2020. Microbe Profile: *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*: Opportunistic pathogen and lab rat. *Microbiol* **166**: 30-33.

Duncan R W 2003. *Evaluation of host tolerance, biological, chemical, and cultural control of* Sclerotinia sclerotiorum *in sunflower* (*Helianthus annuus* L.). MSc. Thesis, Univeristy of Manitoba, Canada.

Durvasula R V, Gumbs A, Panackal A, Kruglov O, Aksoy S, Merrifield R B, Richards F F and Beard C B 1997. Prevention of insect-borne disease: An approach using transgenic symbiotic bacteria. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **94**: 3274-8. doi: 10.1073/pnas.94.7.3274

Fernando W G D, Nakkeeran S, Zhang Y and Savchuk S 2007. Biological control of *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* (Lib.) de Bary by *Pseudomonas* and *Bacillus* species on canola petals. *Crop Prot* **26**: 100-107.

Gikas G D, Parlakidis P, Mavropoulos T and Vryzas Z 2022. Particularities of fungicides and factors affecting their fate and removal efficacy: A Review. *Sustainability* **14**: 4056. doi:10.3390/su14074056

Gill R, Sandhu P S, Sharma S and Sharma P 2021. Pathogenicity determinants of *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* and their association to its aggressiveness on *Brassica juncea*. *Plant Pathol J* **37**(4): 365.

Gupta C P, Kumar B, Dubey R C and Maheshwari D K 2006. Chitinase-mediated destructive antagonistic potential of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* GRC 1 against *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* causing stem rot of peanut. *Bio Control* **51**: 821-35.

Gupta N C, Sharma P, Rao M, Rai P K and Gupta A K 2020. Evaluation of non-injury inoculation technique for assessing Sclerotinia stem rot (*Sclerotinia sclerotiorum*) in oilseed Brassica. *J Microbiol Methods* **175**: 105983. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mimet.2020.105983

Gupta S and Pandey S 2020. Enhanced salinity tolerance in the common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris*) plants using twin ACC deaminase producing rhizobacterial inocultaion. *Rhizosphere* **16**: 100241. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rhisph.2020.100241

Helmy K G 2016. Screening potential of some bacterial species and *Trichoderma harzianum* against *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* on cucumber. *J Plant Prot Pathol* **7**: 867-72.

Johnson D A and Atallah Z K 2014. Disease cycle, development and management of Sclerotinia stem rot of potato. *Am J Plant Sci* **5**: 3717-26.

Kang I S and Chahal S S 2000. Prevalence and incidence of white rot of rapeseed and mustard incited by *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* in Punjab. *Plant Dis Res* **15**: 232-33.

Li C X, Li H, Sivasithamparam K, Fu T D, Li Y C, Liu S Y and Barbetti M J 2006. Expression of field resistance under Western Australian conditions to *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* in Chinese and Australian *Brassica napus* and *Brassica juncea* germplasm and its relation with stem diameter. *Aus J Agric Res* **57**: 1131-35.

Li H, Li H, Bai Y, Wang J, Nie M, Li B and Xiao M 2011. The use of *Pseudomonas fluorescens* P13 to control Sclerotinia stem rot (*Sclerotinia sclerotiorum*) of oilseed rape. *J Microbiol* **49**: 884-89.

Lynch J M and Ebben M H 1986. The use of micro-organisms to control plant disease. *J Appl Bacteriol* **61**: 115-26.

Montes-Osuna N, Cernava T, Gómez-Lama Cabanás C, Berg G, Mer-cado-Blanco J 2022. Identification of volatile organic compounds emitted by two beneficial endophytic *Pseudomonas* strains from olive roots. *Plants* **11**: 318. https://doi.org/10.3390/plants11030318

Neupane S, Goodwin L A, Hogberg N, Kyrpides N C, Alstrom S, Bruce D, Quintana B, Munk C, Daligault H, Teshima H and Davenport K 2013. Non-contiguous finished genome sequence of plant-growth promoting *Serratia proteamaculans* S4. *Stand Genomic Sci* **8**: 441-49.

Pelczar and Reid 1958. Laboratory exercises in microbiology. Mac Graw-Hill Book Co, Inc. New York.

Pellicciaro M, Padoan E, Lione G, Celi L and Gonthier P 2022. Pyoluteorin produced by the biocontrol agent *Pseudomonas protegens*is involved in the inhibition of heterobasidion species present in Europe. *Pathogens* **11**: 391. doi: 10.3390/pathogens11040391

Popova A A, Koksharova O A, Lipasova V A, Zaitseva J V, Katkova-Zhukotskaya O A, Eremina S I, Mironov A S, Chernin L S and Khmel I A 2014. Inhibitory and toxic effects of volatiles emitted by strains of *Pseudomonas* and *Serratia* on growth and survival of selected microorganisms, *Caenorhabditis elegans*, and *Drosophila melanogaster*. *BioMed Res Int* 2014: 125704. doi: 10.1155/2014/125704

Raio A 2024. Diverse roles played by *Pseudomonas fluorescens* complex volatile compounds in their interaction with phytopathogenic microrganims, pests and plants. *World J Microbiol Biotechnol* **40**: 80. doi: 10.1007/s11274-023-03873-0

Ramamoorthy V, Viswanathan R, Raguchander T, Prakasan V and Samiyappan R 2001. Induction of systemic resistance by plant growth promoting rhizobacteria in crop plants against pests and diseases. *Crop Prot* **20**: 1-11.

Ribeirol D A, Bach E, da Silva Moreira F, Muller A R, Rangel C P, Wilhelm C M, Barth A L and Passaglia L M P 2021. Antifungal potential against *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* (Lib.) de Bary and plant growth promoting abilities of Bacillus isolates from canola (*Brassica napus* L.) roots. *Microbiol Res* **248**: 126754. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.micres.2021.126754

Sandhu S K, Singh K H, Rialch I, Sharma S and Brar A P 2023. Developing genetically enhanced Ethiopian mustard (*Brassica carinata*) lines for utilization as potential biofuel source. *Agric Res J* **60**: 494-500.

Schmidt C S, Mrnka L, Lovecka P, Frantik T, Fenclova M, Demnerova K and Vosatka M 2021. Bacterial and fungal endophyte communities in healthy and diseased oilseed rape and their potential for biocontrol of Sclerotinia and Phoma disease. *Sci Rep* **11**: 3810. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-81937-7

Shah S, Chand K, Rekadwad B, Shouche Y S, Sharma J and Pant B 2021. A prospectus of plant growth promoting endophytic bacterium from orchid (*Vanda cristata*). *BMC Biotechnol* **21**: 16. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12896-021-00676-9

Singh B N, Singh A, Singh B R and Singh H B 2014. *Trichoderma harzianum* elicits induced resistance in sunflower challenged by *Rhizoctonia solani*. *J Appl Microbiol* **116**: 654-66.

Smolinska U and Kowalska B 2018. Biological control of the soil-borne fungal pathogen *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum*-A review. *J Plant Pathol* **100**: 1-12.

Sun G, Yao T, Feng C, Chen L Li J and Wang L 2017. Identification and biocontrol potential of antagonistic bacteria strains against *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* and their growth-promoting effects on *Brassica napus*. *Biol Control* **104**: 35-43.

Vinodkumar S, Nakkeeran S, Renukadevi P and Malathi V G 2017. Biocontrol potentials of antimicrobial peptide producing Bacillus species: Multifaceted antagonists for the management of stem rot of carnation caused by *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum*. *Front Microbial* **8**: 446. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2017.00446

Vyas P and Singh B 2024. Plant growth-promoting endophytes from *Stevia rebaudiana* identified to possess bio-control potential against maize sheath blight pathogen *Rhizoctonia solani*. *Eur J Plant Pathol* **168**: 571-91.

Wang S Y, Jiang Y H, Chen X, Herrera-Balandrano D D, Simoes M F, Shi X C and Laborda P 2024. Biocontrol strategies for the management of *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* in Brassica species: A review. *Physiol Mol Plant Pathol* **130**: 102239. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pmpp.2024.102239

Wang Z, Ma L Y, Cao J, Li Y L, Ding L N, Zhu K M, Yang Y H and Tan X L 2019. Recent advances in mechanisms of plant defense to *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum*. *Front Plant Sci* **10**: 1314. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2019.01314

Yexin Z, Huqiang Q, Fengjie N, Lili H, Xiaoning G, Zhensheng K and Qingmei H 2011. Investigation of Sclerotinia stem rot in Shaanxi Province. *Plant Prot* **2**: 116-19.

www.IndianJournals.com
Not for Commercial Use
Downloaded From IP - 216.73.216.57 on dated 15-Nov-2026