

## STUDIES ON VARIABILITY, HERITABILITY & GENETIC ADVANCE IN TMATO (*Lycopersicon esculentum*)

B. SINGH, S. P. SINGH\*, D. KUMAR AND H. P. S. VERMA

*Vegetable Research Station,*

*C. S. A. University of Agriculture & Technology*

*Kalyanpur, Kanpur-208024*

The present investigation of tomato was under taken in a widely divergent collection of 20 varieties for finding out the range of genotypic and phenotypic variability heritability and genetic advance present for important characters. The experiment was worked out in randomized block design with four replications at Vegetable Research Station, Kalyanpur, Kanpur during 1996-97. Seedlings in each treatment were transplanted in 3 rows with 3.75 meters length of bed. Twelve competitive plants were randomly selected in each treatment for recording observations on different characters.

The seed pulp ratio revealed 54.07 percent gcv. whereas weight of fruit, locule number of fruits/plant showed

53.09, 48.17 and 46.36 per cent gcv. respectively. The results obtained in this study indicated that material undertaken might offer good except a few e.g.; acidity, days to ripening and total soluble solids content. The highest heritability was recorded for seed pulp ratio and it was found lower moderated for days to flower. The remaining characters showed to high heritability estimates. The expected genetic advance varied from 8.52 (acidity) to 111.8 per cent (Seed pulp ratio). similar results have also been reported in previous studies (1) In the present studies number of fruits/plant, locule number, seed pulp ratio and weight of fruit had higher heritability estimates with higher genetic gain. These results are in

---

\* Regional Agricultural Research Station, Bharari, Jhansi.

confirmity to those those of larling studies selection also in prinion to parts. (3 & (2). It there fore, appears that selection 4) It was found that days to flower. days for afreraid characters should be effective. to naturity, total soluble solids and acidity Becouse while moning selections. showed high heritability with comparatively Heritability alongwith genetic advance is low genetic gain, indicating that direct more useful than heritability alone. High selection for these characters would not heritability with high expected genetic gain be beneficial. showed. the most effective contribution for

**Table-1 : General mean, range and estimates of components of variances in tomato**

| Character                         | Range         | Mean  | Phenotypic | Variance  |       |
|-----------------------------------|---------------|-------|------------|-----------|-------|
|                                   |               |       |            | Genotypic | Error |
| Days to Flower                    | 18.8 – 33.5   | 25.09 | 18.44      | 11.56     | 6.88  |
| Days to 30% fruit Ripening        | 69.2 – 95.8   | 81.67 | 72.80      | 48.60     | 24.20 |
| Number of fruits/branch           | 2.8 – 6.1     | 4.35  | 1.21       | 0.78      | 0.44  |
| Number of fruit/plant             | 10.7 – 58.9   | 25.19 | 141.90     | 136.49    | 5.41  |
| Number of locule/fruit            | 2.0 – 12.2    | 6.04  | 10.03      | 8.51      | 1.52  |
| Seed Pulp Ratio                   | 90.7 – 609.0  | 168.7 | 11591.11   | 1156.39   | 44.72 |
| Total Soluble Solids (%)          | 6.1 – 7.9     | 7.11  | 0.42       | 0.39      | 0.03  |
| Acidity (mg/100 g of Juice)       | 150.0 – 644.0 | 331.9 | 230.54     | 208.17    | 22.37 |
| Ascorbic acid (mg/100 g of juice) | 24.7 – 43.0   | 33.57 | 26.63      | 26.02     | 0.61  |
| Storage life at room temp. (days) | 13.2 – 27.3   | 17.90 | 12.66      | 11.40     | 1.26  |
| Weight of 20 fruits (kg)          | 0.8 – 5.8     | 1.94  | 1.252      | 1.067     | 0.185 |
| Yield/plant (kg)                  | 1.3 – 2.5     | 1.81  | 0.166      | 0.141     | 0.025 |

**Table-2 : Genotypic and Phenotypic coefficient of variation, heritability and genetic advance in tomato**

| Characters                        | Coefficient of variation |           | Heritability (%) | Genetic advance (%) |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------|------------------|---------------------|
|                                   | Phenotypic               | Genotypic |                  |                     |
| Days to flower                    | 17.09                    | 13.55     | 62.68            | 22.12               |
| Days to 30% fruit ripening        | 10.44                    | 8.53      | 66.75            | 14.36               |
| Number of fruits/branch           | 25.28                    | 20.22     | 64.00            | 33.33               |
| Number of fruits/plant            | 47.28                    | 46.36     | 96.18            | 93.68               |
| Number of locules/fruit           | 52.31                    | 48.17     | 84.84            | 91.72               |
| Seed pulp ratio                   | 54.18                    | 54.07     | 99.61            | 111.18              |
| Total Soluble Solids (%)          | 9.00                     | 8.72      | 92.85            | 17.58               |
| Acidity (mg/100 g of juice)       | 4.58                     | 4.35      | 90.27            | 8.52                |
| Ascorbic acid (mg/100 g of juice) | 15.37                    | 15.19     | 97.70            | 30.92               |
| Storage life at room temp. (days) | 19.83                    | 18.82     | 90.04            | 36.92               |
| Weight of 20 fruits (kg)          | 57.12                    | 93.09     | 85.22            | 101.54              |
| Yield Plant (kg)                  | 22.09                    | 20.4      | 84.93            | 39.77               |

**REFERENCES**

1. Gadekar D. A., Dhasmukhe B. L. and Patil F. B. (1992). Studies on variability, heritability and genetic, advance in Tomato. *J. of Maharashtra Agri. Uni.* 17 (2) : 305-306.
2. Hanson W. H. (1991) Heritability Statistical Genetics and plant Breeding; *National Academy of Science, National Research, Washington* PP-125-40.
3. Johnson H. W., Robinson H. F. and Comstock R. E. (1955) *Agron. J.* (7) 47 : 314.
4. Reddy V. V. P. and Reddy K. V. (1992) Studies on Variability in Tomato. *South Indian Horticulture* 40 (5) : 157-160.
5. Robinson H. F., Comstock R. E. and Harwey P. H. (1949) *Agron J.* 41 (7) : 153.